Polymer electrolyte fuel cell supplied with carbon dioxide. Can the reductant water instead of hydrogen?
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1. Introduction

Chemical/biological conversion of carbon dioxide is attracting greater attentions to reduce one of the major green house gases [1]. Solar-driven thermal dissociation of CO2 was reported with water utilizing cerium oxide [2]. The photocatalytic CO2 conversion is also investigated to solve global environmental problem [1,3,4]. The choice of reductant, e.g. hydrogen or water and the selectivity to fuels, e.g. methanol are keys for the practical application. Especially, CO2 conversion with water is a difficult problem and this situation hampers environmental application of catalysts to CO2 removal.

In this work, the CO2-reducing catalyst was separated from a reductant (hydrogen or water) and the oxidation catalyst by polymer electrolyte (PE) film and thus exclusive methanol formation was enabled. In the analogy to PE fuel cells (FC), Pt/C catalyst was chosen for the oxidation of H2 and moisture [5]. The Zn-Cu-Ga-based reduction catalyst was chosen based on the elemental composition of industrial methanol synthesis catalysts starting from CO and/or CO2 [6–8]. When hydrogen was used, PEFC using CO2 was enabled for the first time. Even when water was used, partial oxidation of water and CO2 reduction to methanol successfully proceeded owing to the separation of the two half-reactions by the PE film.

The catalytic reaction mechanism was also investigated by changing the oxidation catalysts (Pt/C, C only), reduction catalysts (Zn-Cu-Ga/C, Zn-Cu-Ga only), and the reactant (H2 and/or water). In addition, the kinetic tests using PEFC were compared to tests in a Pyrex reactor under CO2 + H2 gas or CO2 + H2O gas.

2. Experimental

50 μm thick perfluorsulfonic acid ionomer Nafion (Scheme 1: NR-212, DuPont; >95%, acid capacity >9.2 × 10−4 equivalent g−1) was pasted with 20 wt% of Pt/C (19 mg; Vulcan XC72, Cabot) attached on water-repellent C paper (EC-20-10-7, Electrochem) or with C powder (Vulcan XC72R, 19 mg) attached on water-repellent C paper on one side and also layered double hydroxide [Zn1.3Cu1.5Ga(OH)8]2+ (CO3)2−·mH2O (abbreviated as Zn-Cu-Ga LDH, m ~ 4; 6.9–10 mg) [8,9] and/or Vulcan XC72R (6.9 mg) on the other side. The area of both catalysts was 3.8 cm2. After adding 0.4 mL of 3% Nafion dispersion solution (Des21-CS, Wako Pure Chemical) to each side, the membrane-electrode assembly (MEA) was pressed with 2.0 MPa at 393 K for 10 min using Model SA-302, Tester Sangyo Co.

Catalytic CO2 reduction tests were conducted using a PEFC based on a Model PEM-004 (Chemix Co.). To the Pt/C or C catalyst, 10 mL min−1 of H2 (101 kPa) or 50 mL of N2 (101 kPa) was
flowed via glass filter with pores of 5–10 \( \mu \)m filled in water set at 343 K. The tube between the glass filter bubbler and the inlet to PEFC was kept at 348 K. To the Zn-Cu-Ga LDH catalyst with/without C, 240 mL min\(^{-1}\) of CO\(_2\) (2.6 kPa) [H\(_2\) (27 kPa)] + balance He to 101 kPa or 240 mL min\(^{-1}\) of He (101 kPa) was circulated in a loop of 172 mL.

The PEFC was maintained at 413 K for 5 h and the products at the cathode were analyzed using an online gas chromatograph (GC) with thermal conductivity detector (Shimadzu, Model GC8A) equipped with 2 m of polyethylene glycol-6000 supported on Flusin P and 3 m of Molecular Sieve 13X-S columns (GL Sciences). The outlet gas from the anode was trapped in a flask cooled in liquid N\(_2\) bath and obtained aqueous solution (~3 mL) was mixed with 15 \( \mu \)L of 30 wt\% Ti(SO\(_4\))\(_2\) solution (Wako Pure Chemical). The concentration of H\(_2\)O was evaluated based on the UV absorption intensity at 404 nm using a UV–visible spectrophotometer (JASCO, Model V-650). The MEA was washed with 5 mL of water after catalysis test and the wash was analyzed on UV–visible spectrometer in a similar manner.

Alternatively, 10 mL min\(^{-1}\) of CO\(_2\) (101 kPa) was flowed via the water bubbler (343 K) and the tube between the bubble and PEFC was set at 348 K. 240 mL min\(^{-1}\) of water (2.8 kPa) + He (99 kPa) was circulated in a loop to the Pt/C catalyst. During the catalytic test, the MEA was maintained as wet. The products at the anode were analyzed using the online MS 13X-S column. Control tests using the physical mixture of Zn-Cu-Ga (6.9 mg) + Pt/C (19 mg) and Zn-Cu-Ga (6.9 mg) alone were performed in a Pyrex reactor in CO\(_2\) (2.7 kPa) + H\(_2\)O (2.0 kPa) and CO\(_2\) (2.7 kPa) + H\(_2\) (27 kPa), respectively.

\[ \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH}^{\text{adsorbed}} + \text{H}^+ + e^- , \quad E = 0.55 \text{V} \]

occurred. Based on the standard redox potential values for the anodic and cathodic reactions, this cell reduction did not proceed spontaneously, but required a catalyst for CO\(_2\) reduction. The available protons in Nafion (~5.0 × 10\(^{-5}\) equivalent) were by more than one order greater than the amount of H needed to form methanol and CO in the entries a–g. Thus, consumed protons of Nafion in proximity to cathode catalyst (Zn-Cu-Ga/C) would be compensated from ones generated from water in proximity to anode catalyst (Pt/C; Scheme 2b). The protons apparently moved from anode to cathode side in Nafion, and the negative charge consumed for the CO\(_2\) reduction at cathode could be also compensated as the apparent move of hydroxyl ions in the same direction (Scheme 2b). Due to this situation, negligible current was monitored in entry b. The overall reaction was expressed as CO\(_2\) + 5H\(_2\)O → CH\(_3\)OH + 6H\(_2\)O (ads).

When H\(_2\) was added to CO\(_2\) at the cathode (entry c), the test result was essentially identical with that of entry a. The direct reaction from CO\(_2\) + H\(_2\) was predominant.

Next, the selective methanol formation using Pt/C catalyst for activating water and Zn-Cu-Ga/C catalyst for activating CO\(_2\) (entry b) was compared to entry d where the Pt content was depleted. The methanol formation rate (0.7 \( \mu \)mol h\(^{-1}\) g\(_{\text{cat}}\)^{-1}) was only 13% of that in the presence of Pt and the current was also negligible (Table 1b and d). Thus, Pt was found to be essential for the activation of water. The protons in Nafion could be consumed to form methanol at cathode, but protons were not compensated from water at anode in the absence of Pt.

In comparison to the entry a where 97% of FC current was monitored during the CO\(_2\) conversion to methanol and CO, pure Zn-Cu-Ga LDH was set in the cathode, not mixed with C (Table 1e). In the entry e, PEFC current was negligible. Thus, mixed C at cathode
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**Scheme 1.** The structure of perfluorosulfonic acid ionomer used in this study (Nafion).
was essential for PEFC supplied with CO₂ (Scheme 2a). Interestingly, the methanol formation rate (4.5 μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹cat⁻¹) was in the same order as that for the entry b (5.1 μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹cat⁻¹) where C was mixed with Zn-Cu-Ga LDH catalyst but only moisture was supplied to the Pt/C catalyst.

Compared to entry e, only moisture was fed to Pt/C catalyst in entry f. The current value and methanol formation rate were essentially identical to those in the entry e (Table 1f). Thus, the protons generated on Pt surface derived either from H₂O or H₂ should have transferred to the Zn-Cu-Ga catalyst, suggesting similar reaction mechanism including apparent protons/hydroxy species transfer from anode to cathode side in Nafion in entries b, e, and f.

In the comparison of entries a and e supplying H₂ for anode, mixed C in cathode was critical for external current and faster methanol formations. In contrast, entries b and f supplying moisture for anode, mixed C exhibited essentially no catalytic difference. In fact, in entries b and f, effective electron flow was not initiated at the Pt/C electrode (Scheme 2b) on which the half reaction H₂O → OH(adsorbed) + H⁺ + e⁻ occurred but the electrons would be unfortunately trapped at electronegative OH(adsorbed) species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Anode Catalyst</th>
<th>Cathode Reactant</th>
<th>Average formation rate (μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹cat⁻¹)</th>
<th>Methanol selectivity (moles, C-base)</th>
<th>Current (μmol-e⁻ h⁻¹ g⁻¹cat⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>H₂ + H₂O</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>Zn-Cu-Ga/C</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>&gt;86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>Zn-Cu-Ga/C + Pt/C</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Zn-Cu-Ga/C</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>Zn-Cu-Ga/C</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>Zn-Cu-Ga/C</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
<td>&gt;85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>Zn-Cu-Ga/C (He)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>&gt;47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Entries a–g were done in PEFC. Entries b’ and c’ were tested in Pyrex glass system.
* Values per 1 g of Zn-Cu-Ga catalyst.
* Values per 1 g of Pt/C catalyst.
* Divided by 6 to compare to six electron reduction rates from CO₂ to methanol. The current sign is positive if electrons moved from anode to cathode.
* Average rates in 2 h.

**Fig. 1.** Time course of CO₂ reduction tests using PEFC. (a) H₂ + moisture fed to Pt/C and CO₂ fed to Zn-Cu-Ga LDH/C, (b) moisture to Pt/C and CO₂ to Zn-Cu-Ga/C, (c) moisture to Pt/C and CO₂ + H₂ to Zn-Cu-Ga/C, (d) moisture to Pt/C and CO₂ to Zn-Cu-Ga/C, (e) H₂ + moisture to Pt/C and CO₂ to Zn-Cu-Ga/C, (f) moisture to Pt/C and CO₂ to Zn-Cu-Ga, and (g) moisture to Pt/C and He to Zn-Cu-Ga/C. CO₂ pressure: 2.6 kPa. Moisture pressure: 31 kPa. H₂ pressure: 101 kPa except for (c) (27 kPa). Control reaction tests in a Pyrex reactor in CO₂ (2.7 kPa) + H₂ O (2.0 kPa) (b’) and in CO₂ (2.7 kPa) + H₂ (27 kPa) (c’).
Thus, mixed C in cathode in entry b did not have a chance to conduct current.

As a blank test to entry b, He was fed to Zn-Cu-Ga/C catalyst instead of CO2 (Table 1g). The current was negligible, and the methanol formation rate (0.9 μmol h⁻¹ gcat⁻¹) was 17% of that for entry b. The C source was carbonates those were intercalated between Zn-Cu-Ga cation layers. Two thirds of carbonates of LDH catalyst remain at the reaction temperature 413 K, corresponding to 4.9–7.2 μmol per 6.9–10 mg of Zn-Cu-Ga LDH [8]. The amount was greater than the methanol obtained in the entry g.

In a Pyrex reactor filled with Zn-Cu-Ga LDH catalyst in CO₂ + H₂ (entry c), the methanol formation rate was essentially equivalent to that in entry a. The selective catalysis to methanol was also possible in Pyrex reactor, but FC current was obtained in entry a (Scheme 2a). In the Pyrex reactor filled with the physical mixture of Zn-Cu-Ga/C and Pt/C in CO₂ + H₂O (entry b), negligible reaction proceeded.

4. Discussion

In the comparison of Table 1a and c, the efficient catalysis proceeded in similar rates if the H₂ and CO₂ supply was separated by Nafion film to generate current in entry a. The PEFC supplied with H₂ + CO₂ at 413 K in this work will be further developed to photo-PEFC supplied with CO₂ or air at 290 K because the cathode catalyst in this work worked at 290 K under UV–visible light to convert CO₂ into methanol [8,9].

The feasibility of PEFC supplied with H₂O + CO₂ at 413 K could not be demonstrated as current, but methanol was selectively produced. Partial decomposition H₂O → OH(adsorbed) + H⁺ + e⁻ should proceed, but the rate was slower than proton transfer rate in Nafion and CO₂ reduction reaction over Zn-Cu-Ga catalyst. The rate balance of reaction steps in PEFC disabled stoichiometric current based on Scheme 2a, and charges transferred in Nafion. Nevertheless, photo-PEFC supplied with H₂ + CO₂ at 290 K is possible in principle [17], if excellent water-splitting photocatalyst consisting of deeper bandgap energy is used to form O₂ [18].

The partial oxidation of water at anode in entry b can be supported by previous reports. The O–H bond dissociation over Pt surface was reported to be relatively easy (72 kJ mol⁻¹ on Pt(1 1 1) face) compared to other Cu, Au, Ni, or Pd surface [19]. The dissociative adsorption of water was reported to be less stable only by 6.3 kJ mol⁻¹ compared to non-dissociative adsorption on Pt(1 1 1) face [20]. In the comparison of kinetic tests in entries a–g, O–H bond of water was dissociated over Pt surface and the protons transferred to cathode Zn-Cu-Ga LDH catalyst to reduce CO₂ into methanol.

5. Conclusions

Stoichiometric current was obtained when H₂ and CO₂ were fed to Pt/C and Zn-Cu-Ga LDH catalysts at anode and cathode, respectively, in PEFC. The PEFC supplied with CO₂ simultaneously produced methanol. When moisture and CO₂ were fed, owing the separation of oxidation and reduction catalysts by the PE film, methanol synthesis was enabled. If the PE film was not used, negligible reaction proceeded. In PEFC, water partially decomposed to OH(adsorbed) + H⁺ + e⁻ and transferred protons to CO₂ to methanol in cathode.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful for financial support from a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (2255 0117) from MEXT and the Iwatani Naoji Foundation’s Research Grant (2011). The X-ray absorption experiments were performed under the approval of the Photon Factory Proposal Review Committee (no. 2011G033) and the grant of the Priority Program for Disaster-Affected Quantum Beam Facilities (2011A1977) supported by both SPring-8 and KEK-PF.

References