State-sensitive monitoring of gold nanoparticle sites on titania and the interaction of the positive Au site with O$_2$ by Au L$_\alpha_1$-selecting X-ray absorption fine structure
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1. Introduction

Gold is chemically inert, however, it was found to become active when dispersed as nano-particles of size 30 Å or smaller in low temperature CO oxidation [1,2]. Various possibilities have been proposed as the active sites: anionic Au cluster site, perimeter site, and lower-coordination step-edge site [1,2]. However, it is difficult to observe each site one by one among Au nano-particles dispersed over porous, complex surface of TiO$_2$.

In order to overcome the heterogeneity problem of Au sites, we applied state-sensitive XAFS spectroscopy [3,4] to a series of Au/TiO$_2$ samples. Due to the differences of deposition-precipitation (DP) conditions of Au compound with NaOH or urea, reaction time of DP, and crystalline...
phase of TiO$_2$ support (anatase/rutile or amorphous), particle size and loading amount of Au were systematically controlled. Catalytically active Au nano-particle sites populated in narrow size distribution centered at 29 Å were monitored state-sensitively in CO or O$_2$ atmosphere to extract activation sites for the low-temperature oxidation reaction.

In this spectroscopic method, better-resolved, steeper XANES spectra were obtained to remove the core-hole lifetime width ($\Gamma$) of K levels for Cu [4,5] and Sn [4] and L$_3$ levels for Dy [6], Pt [7], and Pb [4,8] by selecting the K$_{2\Sigma}$ and L$_{2\Sigma}$ emission, respectively.

2. Experimental

2.1. Samples

K$^+\text{[AuI(CN)$_2$]}$ (Wako) was thoroughly mixed with boron nitride (BN) to be 1.0 wt% Au and pressed into a disk of 20 mm in diameter. Au metal foil was controlled to be the thickness of 6 μm and powders of AuCl$_3$·[P(C$_6$H$_5$)$_3$] (>99.9%, Aldrich), Au$_3$(OH)$_3$ (Wako), and Au$_3$O$_2$ (Wako) were thoroughly mixed with BN and pressed into a disk of 20 mm in diameter, to make the Au L$_3$ absorption edge jump to be 1.

The mesoporous TiO$_2$ sample was synthesized in aqueous solution using dodecylamine [9] given by Professor H. Yoshitake at Yokohama National University. The specific surface area (SA) was 1000 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and wormhole-like pore size distributed in narrow range centered at 30 Å. The Au/TiO$_2$ catalyst was prepared by mixing 1 g of TiO$_2$ (Degussa P25; anatase 70% and rutile 30%) or mesoporous TiO$_2$ (P25) with 100 ml of aqueous solution of HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O (Wako, 1.3 mM) (Table 1a–c). The mixture was stirred at 328 K for 4.5–7 h. The pH of the solution was maintained at 8 by adequately adding NaOH [10]. The powder was filtered and washed several times with de-ionized, distilled water. Dried powders were calcined at 523–673 K in air.

The Au/TiO$_2$ catalyst was also prepared by mixing 1 g of TiO$_2$ (P25) with 100 ml of aqueous solution of HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O (4.2 or 0.51 mM) by adding 42 or 5.1 mM of urea, respectively. The mixture was stirred at 353 K for 4–16 h in the absence of light [11]. Then, the filtered powder was processed similar to the preparation using NaOH and finally calcined at 573 K in air (Table 1d–g). Thus, the obtained Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts are denoted “Au/(type of TiO$_2$)-(alkaline used)-(DP time)-(calcined temperature)”, e.g. Au/P25-urea-16-573.

The Au/TiO$_2$ sample disks were sealed in on-reaction cell for X-ray measurements purged with dry air or with the mixture of CO (5%) and argon (95%). The window was polyethylene naphthalate film of 16 μm in thickness (Q51-16, Teijin).

2.2. Characterization

Transmission electron microscope was measured using field-emission-type TEM (JEM-2010F, JEOL) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV at the Center of Advanced Materials Analysis, Tokyo Institute of Technology (Dr. A. Genseki). The powder was dispersed in carbon tetrachloride using ultrasonic and mounted on carbon-coated Cu grid.

The X-ray measurements were performed at 290 K at the undulator beamline 37XU of SPring-8 (Sayo, Japan). The storage ring energy was 8.0 GeV operated in top-up mode at the ring current of 99–97 mA. A Si(111) monochromator and Rh-coated mirror were used. The undulator gap value was optimized to maximize the X-ray beam flux at each data point. To stabilize the X-ray beam position on the surface of the sample, a feedback mechanism using MOSTAB (monochromator stabilization) [12] was applied. No position change was detected within the range of 50 μm throughout the beamtime.

A homemade Rowland-type fluorescence spectrometer was used to analyze Au L$_{\text{III}}$ emission equipped with a Johansson-type Ge(555) crystal (d = 0.65321 Å, Saint Gobain). The detail of the spectrometer was described in Refs. [4,13,14]. An ionization chamber was purged with the mixture of nitrogen (30%) and helium (70%) in front of the sample. The opening of slit 0 in front of the ionization chamber was 0.25–0.5 mm (horizontal) × 0.5–1 mm (vertical). The sample was placed in a plane near horizontal. The plane was tilted by 6° toward the incident X-ray.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkaline</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DP reaction temperature (K)</th>
<th>DP reaction time (h)</th>
<th>Calcinated temperature (K)</th>
<th>Au loading (wt%$^b$)</th>
<th>Average Au particle size (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>mesoporous</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>87 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>mesoporous</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>78 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>32 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>3 → 8</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>37 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>3 → 8</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>35 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>4 → 8</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>29 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>P25</td>
<td>4 → 8</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>29 ± 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Gold precursor was HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O.

$^b$ Evaluated based on the Au L$_{\text{III}}$ emission and Au L$_{\text{II}}$ absorption edge intensity.

beam and by 7° toward the Ge crystal [4,13,14]. A receiving slit 1 was placed at 100 mm from the sample. The opening was 8 mm(h) × (0.5–4) mm(v). The sample, Ge(5 5 5) crystal, and slit 2 in front of the NaI(Tl) scintillation counter (SC) were controlled on Rowland circle (the radius 220 mm). The opening of slit 2 was 8 mm(h) × 2 mm(v). The sample and SC were covered with a lead plate housing of 1–3 mm thickness except for the incident X-ray beam entrance and the exit of fluorescence X-rays toward the direction of Ge crystal.

With the excitation energy set to 11943.1 eV, Au L\textsubscript{3} emission (M\textsubscript{5}→L\textsubscript{3}; Bragg angle θ\textsubscript{B} = 77.700°) spectra were measured. The scan step was ≈0.9 eV and the accumulation time was 60 s for a data point. The fluorescence spectrometer was tuned to each emission energy and the Au L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES spectra were measured. The scan steps were ≈7.5, ≈0.5, and 2.4 eV in the pre-edge, edge, and post-edge energy regions, respectively. The accumulation time was 40–100 s for a data point. The Au L\textsubscript{3} fluorescence and Au L\textsubscript{3}-edge energy values were calibrated to 9713.3 and 11921.2 eV, respectively, for the spectra of Au metal [15]. The energy positions of the monochromator and the fluorescence spectrometer were reproduced within ±0.1 and ±0.2 eV, respectively. Some of the XANES spectra for standard Au samples were also measured in transmission mode at beamline NW10A of Photon Factory Advanced Ring at KEK (Tsukuba, Japan). The obtained data resolution was essentially the same as that measured at beamline 37XU at SPring-8 in the transmission mode.

2.3. XAFS data analysis

The XAFS data analysis was performed using XDAP version 2.2.7 (XAFS Services International) based on works of M. Vaarkamp, H. Linders, and D. Koningsberger. The pre-edge background was approximated by the modified Victoreen function \( C_y/E^2 + C_z/E + C_b \). The background of post-edge oscillations was approximated by a smoothing spline function calculated by the following equation for the number of data points \( N \):

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \exp(-0.075k_i^2) \leq \text{smoothing factor}
\]

For Au cluster site models (Ti-top and O-top) supported on anatase-type TiO\textsubscript{2}(0 0 1) surface, Au L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES spectra were theoretically generated using FEFF 8.2 [16] in self-consistent field and full multiple scattering calculation modes. The Hedin–Lundqvist self-energy was used for energy-dependent exchange-correlation potential. The calculated potential was corrected by adding a constant shift to “pure imaginary optical potential”. Because the Au L\textsubscript{3}-selecting XANES was measured under the condition that the energy resolution to detect the X-ray fluorescence (2.9 eV) was smaller than the \( \Gamma \) value of Au L\textsubscript{3} level (5.41 eV) [17], the constant energy shift value was set to negative (−1.0 eV) [4,18].

3. Results

3.1. TEM observation of Au/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts

High-resolution TEM images were observed for a series of Au/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts (Fig. 1). The average Au particle size and the standard deviation are listed in Table 1. The average Au particle size was in the range 29–37 Å supported on anatase-type TiO\textsubscript{2}(c–g) versus relatively greater particles size in the range 78–87 Å supported on mesoporous TiO\textsubscript{2}(a,b). The Au particle size distribution was especially narrow (standard deviation 10–19 Å) in the cases of d–g prepared using urea. Observed Au particle sizes (Table 1) were basically consistent with values reported in Refs. [10,11].

The degree of Au loading was reflected as the population of spherical darker dots (Au) dispersed on amorphous TiO\textsubscript{2} (a,b) or anatase-type TiO\textsubscript{2} crystalline (c–g) (Fig. 1). The population of Au dots was smaller when NaOH was used during the DP reaction (a–c). The population of Au dots was greater when urea was used (d–g) and the population seems proportional to the initial concentration of HAuCl\textsubscript{4}·4H\textsubscript{2}O:4.2 mM for Fig. 1d and e and 0.51 mM for Fig. 1f and g. The Au loading amount evaluated based on the Au L\textsubscript{3} emission and Au L\textsubscript{3} edge jump intensity (Table 1) was in accord with the trend of Au nano-particles population detectable in the TEM images.

3.2. X-ray spectroscopy for standard Au compounds

The Au L\textsubscript{3} emission spectra were measured for Au metal and K\textsuperscript{+}[Au\textsubscript{1}(CN)\textsubscript{2}]. The \( \Gamma \) values for Au L\textsubscript{3} and M\textsubscript{5} levels are 5.41 [17] and 2.18 eV [19], respectively. Based on the FWHM (full width at the half maximum) value of the Au L\textsubscript{3} peak for Au metal (6.5 eV), the energy resolution of fluorescence spectrometer was estimated to be 2.9 eV including the contribution of beamline at 9.7 keV. The chemical shift of Au L\textsubscript{3} emission peaks was −0.2 eV for K\textsuperscript{+}[Au\textsubscript{1}(CN)\textsubscript{2}] compared to that for Au metal (Table 2). Because the shift value was comparable to the reproducibility of energy position of the fluorescence spectrometer, the chemical shift was experimentally checked three times and the shift was reproduced and significant.

By tuning the fluorescence spectrometer to each emission peak top, Au L\textsubscript{3}-selecting Au L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES spectra were measured for Au metal and K\textsuperscript{+}[Au\textsubscript{1}(CN)\textsubscript{2}] (Fig. 2). Satisfying the condition that the energy resolution to monitor the X-ray fluorescence was smaller than that \( \Gamma \) for Au L\textsubscript{3} level [4,18], absorption edge became steeper and the peaks at 11923.3, 11935.3, 11941.3, and 11948 eV depicted in Fig. 2a and those at 11926.8 and 11948 eV depicted in Fig. 2b were sharper and more resolved compared to the corresponding spectra measured in transmission mode (dotted line).

3.3. X-ray spectroscopy for Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts

The Au L$_{3}$ emission spectra for Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts are depicted in Fig. 3. The peak top energy (9713.3–9713.4 eV) for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 and Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-4.5-673 in air and Au/P25–urea-16-573 (0.93 wt%) in 5% CO was essentially the same as for Au metal (Table 2). The peak shifted to 9713.0–9713.1 eV for Au/P25–NaOH-7-673 and Au/P25–urea-16-573 both in air. The energy corresponds to the value for K$^+\text{[AuI(CN)$_2$]}^-$ (Table 2).

Next, the Au L$_{3}$ emission spectra were measured for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 in air by progressively changing the excitation energy ($E_{\text{exc}}$) on going from

---

**Table 2**

The Au L$_{3}$ emission peak and Au L$_{3}$ absorption edge positions for Au standard compounds and Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts in air or 5% CO by tuning the secondary fluorescence spectrometer to each energy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Purged gas</th>
<th>Au L$_{3}$ peak energy (eV)</th>
<th>Tune energy (eV)</th>
<th>Au L$_{3}$ edge energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au metal</td>
<td></td>
<td>9713.3</td>
<td>9713.3</td>
<td>11921.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+\text{[Au(CN)$_2$]}^-$</td>
<td></td>
<td>9713.1</td>
<td>9713.1</td>
<td>11923.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuCl[P(C$_6$H$_5$)$_3$]</td>
<td></td>
<td>11923.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>9713.3</td>
<td>9707.6</td>
<td>11917.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-4.5-673</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>9713.4</td>
<td>9713.2</td>
<td>11921.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/P25–NaOH-7-673</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>9713.0</td>
<td>9713.0</td>
<td>11921.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/P25–urea-16-573 (0.93 wt% Au)</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>9713.1</td>
<td>9707.8</td>
<td>11916.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (5%) + Ar (95%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9713.4</td>
<td>9713.1</td>
<td>11921.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9718.3</td>
<td>11927.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Measured in transmission mode.
11943.1 eV to 11919.1 eV [3]. In the $E_{\text{exc}}$ range between 11943.1 and 11922.0 eV, the emission peak energy and intensity negligibly changed. When the $E_{\text{exc}}$ value decreased to 11919.1 eV, the Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ emission peak shifted to 9711.0 eV and the intensity decreased to 66% [3]. The fluorescence spectrometer was tuned to each peak top (9713.0–9713.4 eV, the arrows in Fig. 3) and Au L$_{\alpha 1}$-selecting Au L$_3$-edge XANES spectra were measured for Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts listed in Table 1a–c and g. The absorption edge was at 11920.8–11921.1 eV (Table 2) similar to the value for Au metal (11921.2 eV). The sharp, resolved XANES pattern for these Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts (Fig. 4 a-(2), b-(2), and c-(2)) was similar to Au L$_{\alpha 1}$-selecting XANES for Au metal (Fig. 2a-(1)).

Then, tune energy was set lower than Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ peak top, corresponding to peak intensity of 1/3 (9707.6–9708.1 eV, the arrows in Fig. 3) for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 in air and Au/P25–urea-16-573 (0.93 wt% Au) in air and in 5% CO. The Au L$_3$ edge shift compared to the data tuned to Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ peak top was $-3.7$ eV for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 in air and $-4.7$ eV for Au/P25–urea-16-573 in air and in 5% CO (Table 2). The first intense peak at 11923.9–11924.2 eV above absorption edge observed in Fig. 4a-(2), b-(2), and c-(2) became a weak shoulder feature at 11922 eV (Fig. 4a-(1), b-(1), and c-(1)). The post-edge pattern looked to shift by $-3.7$ to $-4.7$ eV compared to the spectra tuned to Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ peak top. Subsequently, the fluorescence spectrometer was tuned to the energy corresponding to peak intensity of 1/3, on higher-energy side (9718.3–9718.7 eV, the arrows in Fig. 3). The Au L$_3$ edge shift compared to the data tuned to Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ peak top was +6.8 eV for Au/P25–urea-16-573 in air, greater than shifts for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 in air and Au/P25–urea-16-573 in 5% CO (+3.7 to +3.9 eV) (Table 2). The intensity of first intense peak at 11930.0–11931.2 eV above absorption edge was in the order for spectra of Au/P25–urea-16-573 in air.

Fig. 2. Au L$_{\alpha 1}$-edge XANES spectra measured by tuning the fluorescence spectrometer to Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ peak top (solid line, 1) and in transmission mode (dotted line, 2) for Au metal (a) and K$^+$[AuI(CN)$_2]$ (b).

Fig. 3. Au L$_{\alpha 1}$ emission spectra measured for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 in air (a) and Au/P25–urea-16-573 (0.93 wt% Au) in air (b) and in 5% CO (c). The arrows are tune energy in the Au L$_3$-edge XAFS measurements in Fig. 4.
This resonance peak was reported to be more intense as the Au 5d states were less occupied [20]. The post-edge pattern looked to shift by +3.7 to +6.8 eV compared to the spectra tuned to Au L$_3$ peak top.

Au L$_3$-detecting EXAFS (extended X-ray absorption fine structure) spectra for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 (0.93 wt%) tuned to 9707.6 and 9718.7 eV (Fig. 3a, arrows) were very similar to each other in the wave-number region 2–9 Å$^{-1}$ in contrast to the typical difference of state-sensitive spectra in the XANES region (Fig. 4a). A peak due to Au–Au bonding appeared at 2.3 Å (phase shift uncorrected) in the Fourier transform of EXAFS for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 tuned to 9707.6 and 9718.7 eV and Au/P25–urea-16-573 (0.93 wt% Au) in air tuned to 9713.1 eV (Fig. 3b, arrow), however, a peak due to Au–O bonding [21,22] was unclear/unresolved in the Fourier transform for all the EXAFS spectra.

4. Discussion

State-sensitive Au L$_3$-edge XANES spectra tuned to Au L$_3$ peak (9713.0–9713.4 eV) for Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts (Fig. 4a-(2), b-(2), and c-(2)) resembled Au L$_3$-selecting XANES for Au metal (Fig. 2a-(1)). The Au$^0$ state among Au/TiO$_2$ catalysts was discriminated with this range of tune energy.

When the fluorescence spectrometer was tuned to 9707.6–9708.1 eV, the first intense peak above the edge became a weak shoulder at 11922 eV (Fig. 4a-(1), b-(1), and c-(1)) suggesting greater Au 5d occupation [20,21]. Two types of Au cluster models were considered located either on Ti or on O atom of anatase-type TiO$_2$(001) surface (Fig. 5a and b, respectively). The spectral pattern, especially in the absorption edge region, was similar to the theoretical XANES generated by FEFF for O-top interfacial Au sites (Figs. 4d-(6) and 5b). The Au L$_3$-edge XANES spectra tuned to 9710.4–9710.6 eV for Au/meso-

![Fig. 4. Au L$_3$-selecting XANES spectra measured for Au/mesoporous–TiO$_2$–NaOH-7-523 in air (a) and Au/P25–urea-16-573 (0.93 wt% Au) in air (b) and in 5% CO (c). Tune energy was 9707.6, 9713.0, and 9718.7 eV (a), 9707.8, 9713.1, and 9718.3 eV (b), and 9708.1, 9713.4, and 9718.6 eV (c). (d) Au L$_3$-edge XANES spectra for gold metal (1), Au$^{11}$Cl[P(C$_6$H$_5$)$_3$]$_3$ (2), Au$^{11}$[OH]$_3$ (3), Au$^{11}$O (4), and interfacial Au sites located on Ti and O atoms (5 and 6, respectively). 1–4: Experimental, measured in transmission mode. 5, 6: generated for model sites in Fig. 5a (Ti-top) and b (O-top), respectively, using FEFF [16].](image)

![Fig. 5. Au cluster models on anatase-type TiO$_2$(001) surface terminated with Ti atoms (Ti-top; a) and O atoms (O-top; b).](image)

porous–TiO₂–NaOH-7-523 in air [3] and Au/P25-urea-16-573 (0.93 wt% Au) in 5% CO (not shown) resembled the theoretical data of Fig. 4d-(6) even more. Thus, negative charge transfer from interfacial O atoms to Au is suggested [23–25] at the interface of Au/TiO₂ catalysts, successfully discriminated by tuning the spectrometer to 9707.6–9710.6 eV. Among the Au L₃-selecting XANES spectra tuned to 9707.6–9708.1 eV, the Au L₃ absorption edge shift compared to XANES spectra tuned to Au L₃ peak top was smaller for Au/mesoporous–TiO₂–NaOH-7-523 in air (−3.7 eV) versus −4.6 to −4.7 eV for Au/P25-urea-16-573 in air and in 5% CO (Table 2). This difference can be explained due to the difference of average Au nano-particle size: 87 and 29 Å, respectively. As the Au nano-particle size increases, the effect of charge transfer at the particle-support interface becomes relatively small per Au particle and thus the population of Au⁺ state was greater. Instead, this difference may be explained based on the report that smaller Au particles were relatively more negatively charged [21].

First intense peak above the edge was observed at 11923.9–11924.2 eV by tuning the fluorescence spectrometer to peak top and at 11930.0–11931.2 eV by tuning it to 9718.3–9718.7 eV (Fig. 4). An intense peak appeared at 11925.7 eV for theoretically generated XANES for Ti-top interfacial Au sites (Figs. 4d-(5) and 5a) [24]. Thus, negative charge transfer was suggested from Au 5d to support and/or O₂ as the spectrum for Au metal (Fig. 2A1) shifted by +6.7 eV rather than +4.3 eV for Au/P25-urea-16-573 in air and in 5% CO (Table 2). Among the Au L₃-selecting XANES spectra tuned to 9718.3–9718.7 eV, the Au L₃ absorption edge shift compared to XANES spectra tuned to Au L₃ peak top was greater for Au/P25-urea-16-573 in air (+6.8 eV) versus +3.7 to +3.9 eV for the same catalyst in 5% CO and Au/mesoporous–TiO₂–NaOH-7-523 in air (+3.7 eV) versus +4.6 to +4.7 eV for Au/P25-urea-16-573 in air and in 5% CO (Fig. 4). An intense peak appeared at 9718.3–9718.7 eV. The charge transferred from Au 5d to reduce Ti³⁺ states at the interface (Fig. 5a).

The pattern of positively-shifted XANES spectra (Fig. 4b-(3)) due to the effects of negative charge transfer from Au to support and/or O₂ was similar to the spectrum for Au metal (Fig. 2A1) shifted by +6.7 eV rather than ones for K⁺[AuI(CN)]₂⁻⁻Au⁺ (Fig. 2b-(1)), Au⁺[Cl⁻(C₆H₅)₃], Au⁺[OH⁻(OH)], or Au⁺[O₃] (Fig. 4d-(2)–(4)). Thus, the Au states discriminated by tuning the spectrometer to 9718.3 eV for Au/P25-urea-16-573 in air were for electron-deficient Au nano-particle sites.
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